Appl. No. 10/074,954 Amdt. dated Jan. 04/2004 Reply to Office action of Nov. 4, 2003

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the specification, the Abstract has been amended to reduce the wording to less than 150 words.

Claims 6 and 7 has been amended. Claims 1 - 5 and 8 remain in this application. No new matter has been added.

Reconsideration of the rejection of Claims 6 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regard as his invention, is requested, in light of the following arguments.

Claims 6 and 7 have been amended in response to the Examiner's kind suggestions.

Claim 7 objected to because of an informality of not ending in a period, has been corrected.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}}$

Stephen B. Ackerman, Reg. No. 37,761 (845) 452-5863